


Welcoming the Stranger

*A pastoral letter addressing the
need for comprehensive immigration reform*



The Rt. Rev. Eugene Taylor Sutton
The Rt. Rev. John L. Rabb

The Episcopal Diocese of Maryland

Dear People of God in the Diocese of Maryland,

As our nation continues its troubled and hesitant course toward addressing the challenge of immigration, we are moved to write to remind you of the biblical values and imperatives that should guide our treatment of newcomers and sojourners.

1 - A world on the move

A world in which, increasingly, people move across national borders can be unsettling. Displacement occurs both for those who move and for those into whose midst they arrive. It can be too easy to forget our common humanity and to stereotype the “other.” But our faith teaches us something different. It teaches us that all of us are children of God, made in God’s image, and blessed by God’s unending love. In a world on the move, we need to learn, as people in every age have needed to learn, to welcome the stranger, to embrace the Other.

Amidst all this movement, borders exist. What are the purposes of borders? To provide security and to protect us economically, politically and militarily. Borders can also act in a relational manner, to sustain a cultural identity and to provide a place of welcome. Borders can function as a means of broadening the well-being of human community, or a means for division and constricting human freedom. When borders diminish human freedoms, creativity, ingenuity, imagination, they are contrary to the economy of divine grace.

God created us, and blessed us, and made us responsible for one another. We can choose to be delighted at the variety of God’s creation, including the variety of human beings, or we can choose to be dismayed, or frightened, or alarmed. Certainly in the years since September 11, 2001, our country has often seemed to be frightened by “foreignness” and has reacted protectively. Furthermore, in the recent economic recession, many Americans have been fearful of immigrant and migrant labor, suspicious that those who cross borders to obtain work will somehow diminish our own quality of life and decrease our incomes.

Fear should not guide our public policies, but God’s dream of freedom and joy and mutual flourishing should. Only when we contribute to each other’s

flourishing, both within and beyond national borders, do we honor the image of God that we all share.

Consider our own history. We all are descended from people who were strangers in a foreign land. Most of us, save Native Americans, come from immigrant stock, whether from people who migrated with dreams of a better life or from people who were brought here forcibly in chains. Some of us fled oppression. Others of us came with a sense of a God-given right to develop a “new world.” Whether our lived experience, and that of our ancestors, has been of struggle and thriving, or of unrewarded exertion, God’s desire is for every one of us to flourish, and our history shows that we are most likely to thrive when we help and care for one another.

Consider those “caught” in illogical immigration scenarios. Our national immigration system was not devised and is not prepared for a globalized, migratory world where finance and information and goods flow more freely across national borders; because our system is inadequate, the people who provide vital goods and services do not move freely. The line to apply for a visa sometimes seems to move backwards rather than forwards. Children are forced to wait more than a decade to join their parents. Consider the dialysis patients in Atlanta who were unable, as undocumented workers, to get health insurance, and who are losing their only means of getting dialysis because a charity hospital is closing its clinic. Consider the high school valedictorian in our own state who could not go to college because, even though he had never lived in any state but Maryland since coming to this country, he would be charged out-of-state tuition and would be denied financial aid because he is an immigrant. Consider the priests and pastors who have been forced to leave flourishing ministries because they could not obtain a “green card.”

Consider the contributions of immigrants in our lives at this moment. In all likelihood, each one of us encounters immigrants every week, if not every day, of our lives: teachers in our schools and colleges; doctors and nurses in hospitals; care-givers in nursing homes and day-care centers; business owners, mechanics, engineers, bank employees, sales clerks, custodians, cab and bus drivers. Interestingly, we find that antipathy toward our immigrant sisters and brothers often disappears completely when we encounter them in our own lives. How can we not appreciate the person who cares for our elderly parent, the

teacher's aide who helps our child in school, the fellow parishioner who gives such loving service to church and community? When we know such people, we come to value them.

Consider the economic contributions immigrants make in our country. Immigrants, both documented and undocumented, work hard. Their labor helps to strengthen our economy. Our Social Security system would find it even more difficult to meet its commitments were it not for hard-working immigrants. Ironically, millions of undocumented workers may never receive Social Security benefits because of their immigration status, even though they have paid hundreds of billions of dollars into the system.

Consider the international connections of the Episcopal Church and the Diocese of Maryland. Many Episcopalians do not realize that the Episcopal Church is not just a U.S. entity, but encompasses twelve overseas dioceses in Latin America, the Caribbean, Europe, and Asia. Our own diocese sponsors youth trips to Central America each year, and several of our churches have mission relationships with churches, schools, and other institutions in Haiti. In addition, we have now or have had in the past companion-diocese relationships in Africa and Asia. All these relationships bring opportunities to learn and serve in other countries and cultures, experiences that are often life-forming and life-changing. When we participate in migration, even as temporary visitors to another country, we see more clearly how hospitality, respect, and welcome bring God's people together.

2 - Biblical resources for understanding the issues

As we say so often in the Episcopal Church, we base our understanding of how to live in God's world on the integration of scripture, tradition, and reason. Scripture teaches us the principles by which the people of God learned to live in relationship with God, as well as the events of our history as God's people and as followers of Our Lord Jesus Christ. Tradition teaches us the hard-won insights of generations of Christians, from the early church until today. And reason shows us how we can apply those principles in new and changing situations. All three – scripture, tradition, and reason – are gifts of God to be used reverently and wisely.

Scripture teaches us that we are created in the image of God and redeemed in Jesus Christ. In considering the challenges posed by immigration, we must first recall that all human beings are created in the image and likeness of God (Gen. 1:26-27). This essential insight underlies our understanding of human rights. All human beings have inherent worth and intrinsic dignity. Worth and dignity are not bestowed by governments or constitutions, nor can they be undermined by vulnerability or neediness. Rather, we are taught to respect and value every human being because all are created in the image of God.

Furthermore, we are all redeemed in Jesus Christ: Our Lord gave his life for all people, and therefore all are worthy of respect. John Wesley gives one classic expression to this idea: “A poor wretch comes to me for alms: I look and see him covered with dirt and rags. But through these I see one that has an immortal spirit, made to know and love and dwell with God to eternity: I honor him for his Creator’s sake. I see through all these rags that he is purpled over with the blood of Christ. I love him for the sake of his Redeemer. The courtesy therefore which I feel and show toward him is a mixture of the honor and love which I bear to the offspring of God, the purchase of his Son’s blood, and the candidate for immortality.” Wesley brings together the themes of creation and redemption and shows that the love and respect we show our fellow human beings is done for the sake of their Creator and Redeemer.

The worth, dignity, rights, and responsibilities of people are grounded in their creation and redemption. We must always remember that immigration is about the human beings who cross borders. The dignity and rights of human beings transcend national borders and they transcend human categories that might diminish worth. The real value and worth of people is grounded in their creation in the image and likeness of God and in the fact that Christ deemed them worthy of his complete self-offering.

The very concept of God’s chosen people in the Old Testament is of a people moving across borders, and recognizing others who move across borders. Abraham, Jacob, Joseph and his brothers were wanderers, who lived at times as alien sojourners in lands not their own. Moses led the people of Israel on a forty-year journey from Egypt to the land of Canaan. When the Israelites settled in the land of promise, their laws explicitly protected others who were

wanderers and sojourners. The experiences of the people of Israel as aliens, wanderers, refugees, and deportees thus influenced their laws and view of sojourners.

In a time when kinship was the guarantor of security and status, sojourners were particularly vulnerable to the chances and changes of life since they lacked a network of family within which people inherited property, owned land for producing crops, and had built-in connections for food, lodging, and care for physical and social well-being. Their status as people at risk was noted and Israelite laws were intended to protect them in their vulnerability.

Both Old and New Testaments offer many examples of hospitality to strangers. When we think of hospitality today, we may not think of welcoming the stranger. Perhaps our first images of hospitality will be of having friends or family over for a meal, or of the “hospitality industry” of hotels and restaurants. However, the hallmark of hospitality in biblical literature is the welcoming of strangers, and as John Koenig has noted, in the ancient world hospitality was seen as one of the “pillars of morality upon which the universe stands.” Hospitality arises from a sacred bond between guest and host and when they violate their “obligations to each other, the whole world shakes and retribution follows.”

In the Old Testament, hospitality was central to the identity of the people of God. God called Abraham away from family and homeland to be a stranger in a foreign land. God promised that Abraham would have many descendants and a land for them to inhabit, but even in the midst of these promises, God warned that fulfillment would come only after Abraham’s descendants had the experience of being sojourners and slaves in a land that was not their own (Gen 15:5-21). When Israel finally inherited the promised land and after the sojourn in Egypt, God reminded them that the land still belonged to the Lord. The ancient Israelites were to see themselves as strangers and sojourners even in their own land. God owned the land and they were to be its stewards and caretakers.

The Israelites’ experience shaped their attitudes toward strangers. As Exodus 23:9 tells us: “You shall not oppress a stranger; you know the heart of a

stranger, for you were strangers in the land of Egypt.” Knowing the heart of a stranger, Israel was to show hospitality to the strangers in its midst.

Perhaps the best known hospitality story in the Old Testament is the beautiful story of Abraham’s hospitality whereby he entertained “angels unawares.” As Abraham sat in his tent on a hot day, the Lord appeared to him in the form of three men standing outside. When Abraham saw the men, he ran out to greet them and entreated them to stop for a while and to refresh themselves. He then ran inside, and he and Sarah prepared a meal for their guests. Like a good host, Abraham “waited on them” while they ate.

One of the men asks, “Where is your wife Sarah?” Abraham replies that she is in the tent. The man then promises that in a year’s time when he returns, Sarah will have borne a son. The promises of God to Abraham and the people of God are made manifest in a story about welcoming the stranger. Hospitality, it turns out, is not just a pillar of morality in ancient Israel, but also a means by which people are blessed.

The story of Elijah and the widow of Zarephath is notable because hospitality is shown by a gentile who in turn receives blessing. In a time of famine and drought, Elijah went to a foreign town and asked a widow to show him hospitality. The widow responded to Elijah’s request for food and drink by telling Elijah that she had so little that she did not expect her son and herself to survive. Elijah, however, assured the widow that if she shared what she had with him, the God of Israel would provide for her needs. Her meager supply of food sustained all three of them, and Elijah restored her son to life after he was stricken by an illness. Through the hospitality of a foreign widow the blessing and mercy of the God of Israel was experienced.

In the New Testament, we see the importance of hospitality especially in the teaching and table fellowship of Jesus. In Luke 14:12-14, Jesus overturns conventional notions of hospitality when he gives instruction on who is to be invited to a banquet: “When you hold a lunch or a dinner, do not invite your friends or your brothers or your relatives or your wealthy neighbors, in case they may invite you back and you have repayment. Rather, when you hold a banquet, invite the poor, the cripples, the lame, the blind; blessed indeed will you be because of their inability to repay you. For you will be repaid at the

resurrection of the righteous.” In these instructions, Jesus calls on earthly hosts to anticipate the hospitality of God’s kingdom. The Parable of the Great Feast (Luke 14:15-24) provides the context for understanding Jesus’ instructions to earthly hosts. In the great banquet in the Kingdom of God, the same four groups (the poor, the crippled, the lame, and the blind) that Jesus tells earthly hosts to invite are also brought into the Great Feast. The implication is clear: just as God will welcome the vulnerable into the great banquet in the Kingdom, so also earthly hosts should open their tables to those in need. The character of God’s hospitality should guide earthly behavior. Jesus demonstrated this hospitality in his own table fellowship in which he welcomed sinners and tax collectors. By welcoming the weak and vulnerable we anticipate and reflect the welcome of God.

The importance of hospitality is also seen in Jesus’ teaching on the judgment of the nations in Matthew 25:31-46. The passage states that the Son of Man will separate the nations as a shepherd separates the sheep and the goats. To the sheep on his right hand the king will say, “Come, you who are blessed by my Father. Inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world. For I was hungry and you gave me food, I was thirsty and you gave me drink, a stranger and you welcomed me . . .” Then the righteous will answer him, “Lord, when did we see you hungry and feed you, or thirsty and give you drink? When did we see you a stranger and welcome you? . . .” And the king will say to them in reply, “Amen, I say to you, whatever you did for one of these least brothers of mine, you did for me.”

People who have welcomed strangers and who have met the needs of the vulnerable, have welcomed Christ himself, and will themselves be welcomed into the Kingdom. God’s invitation to the Kingdom is tied to the hospitality to the stranger in this life. “I was a stranger and you welcomed me” is the hallmark of New Testament hospitality. In it, Jesus points to a fundamental identification between himself and the “least of these.” Hospitality toward the weak and vulnerable therefore becomes a way in which we meet Christ today in his “distressing disguise.” As was the case in the Old Testament, hospitality is not only a virtue and pillar of morality, but also a means of blessing and the context in which God’s promises are made known.

Many other passages in the New Testament urge us to offer gracious welcome to others. The Greek word for hospitality, *philoxenia*, literally means “love” (*phileo*) of “strangers” (*xenos*). In other words, hospitality is a concrete expression of love, not just love for sisters and brothers, but also love extended outward to the stranger.

In both the Old Testament and the New Testament, hospitality was a virtue and a pillar of morality that was expressed by welcoming the stranger. In the gracious interaction of hosts and guests God’s promises were made known and blessing ensued for all involved. A biblical understanding of hospitality encourages us not only to think about the moral dimensions of immigration reform, but also to also look further to the potential blessings that may come when we welcome the stranger in our midst.

The gospels also show Jesus’ special concern for and ministry to outsiders. At the very beginning of his public ministry, Jesus went to the synagogue in his home town of Nazareth, where he read from the Isaiah scroll: “The Spirit of the Lord is upon me because he has anointed me to bring glad tidings to the poor. He has sent me to proclaim liberty to the captives and recovery of sight to the blind, to let the oppressed go free, and to proclaim the acceptable year of the Lord.” Persons in the synagogue received Jesus’ message well, until he told the stories of Elijah and the widow of Zarephath and of Elisha and Naaman the Syrian which demonstrated God’s grace and mercy extending to Gentiles. The stories so enraged his listeners that they tried to throw Jesus off a cliff. Jesus’ proclamation that God’s love extended to Gentiles and others who were considered outsiders challenged many people in his day.

Two stories about Samaritans illustrate even more fully Jesus’ embrace of outsiders. The region of Samaria was situated south of Galilee and north of Judea. Centuries of antagonism between Jews and Samaritans caused most Jews to perceive Samaritans as a loathsome people. John’s Gospel tells the story of Jesus conversing with a Samaritan woman at Jacob’s well. That Jesus would talk to a Samaritan woman is remarkable because a tradition at the time viewed Samaritan women as unclean. The woman in the story recognizes this herself when she says, “How can you, a Jew, ask me, a Samaritan woman, for a drink?” (For Jews use nothing in common with Samaritans).” But this tradition does not deter Jesus from engaging the woman in conversation, and in the give and take

of the exchange Jesus reveals that he is the Messiah. The result is that the woman brings others to hear Jesus and through her witness many Samaritans believe in him. In this exchange, we see that Jesus' ministry extended to those who were considered outsiders.

The second story is the Parable of the Good Samaritan. Jesus tells this story in response to a question from a scribe about what he must do to inherit eternal life. Jesus responds by asking what it says in the law, to which the scribe responds to love God and to love your neighbor. Jesus says do this and you will live, but the scribe presses the issue by asking "who is my neighbor?"

The Parable of the Good Samaritan is the answer Jesus gives. It is a complicated answer. The scribe was asking Jesus to identify the neighbor whom he was to love. But Jesus answers with a story that identifies the person who *acts* like a neighbor. In the story, a man is attacked by robbers and left for dead by the side of the road. First, a priest comes upon him, but rather than stop and help, he passes by on the other side of the road. Second, a Levite comes upon him, and he also passes him by on the other side of the road. Finally, a Samaritan comes by, and moved by compassion, he stops and treats the man's wounds and then takes him to an inn and provides for his care. The surprise in the story is that the loathsome Samaritan, rather than the religious leaders, turns out to be the true neighbor who shows love. Jesus tells a story about an outsider to demonstrate to the scribe what it means to be a true neighbor.

In the gospels we read many examples of Jesus showing that God's love and mercy extends to people who were considered outsiders in his day – the poor, the sick, women, gentiles and Samaritans. God's love and care do not stop at the boundary markers many people in his day set up for themselves. Rather, God's love extends to all, and by extension so should our love of neighbor. Through his life and ministry, Jesus showed us that the command to love our neighbor includes those we oftentimes view as outsiders. When we think about immigration reform, we are called to remember that the people whom many would label outsiders are our neighbors whom we are commanded to love.

3 - Other resources from church teaching and tradition

In the early church, the practice of hospitality was a way in which Christians sought to meet the physical and spiritual needs of all human beings.

Early Christian hospitality was distinctive in the ancient world because it was not limited to family, friends, and influential people. Rather, the hallmark of hospitality in the early church was its emphasis on including the poor and the needy, people who could not repay their hosts. Hospitality, therefore, was a way of recognizing the equal value and dignity of all people. The table fellowship that was central to early Christian hospitality extended the care and concern of the Christian community beyond just friends and family to include the most vulnerable members of society.

In the fourth century, the Christian writer Lactantius contrasted the practice of Christian hospitality with the type of hospitality recommended by Cicero. For Cicero, like many classical writers, hospitality was a way to achieve mutual advantage and benefit between hosts and guests. As such, in Cicero's view, recipients of hospitality were evaluated in terms of their worthiness and goodness. As Lactantius notes, for Cicero, the "houses of illustrious men should be open to illustrious guests." Lactantius, however, rejected notions of hospitality that thought in terms of the worthiness and goodness of guests, in favor of thinking of the guest's need. He says, "The house of a just man ought not to be open to the illustrious, but to the lowly and abject. For the illustrious and powerful men cannot be in want of anything." Lactantius demonstrates the distinctive character of Christian hospitality as welcoming the vulnerable with no concern for advantage or ambition. Rather, he makes clear that Christian hospitality was about justice, not mutual advantage. He says, "But in what does the nature of justice consist than in our affording to strangers through kindness that which we render to our relatives through affection."

St. John Chrysostom echoes this theme of offering hospitality not based on the recipients' ability to return the favor, but rather precisely to those who cannot repay us. He writes, "Why did God command us to call to our suppers and our feasts the lame, and the maimed, and those who cannot repay us? Because these are most of all properly called good deeds which are done for God's sake. Whereas if you entertain some great and distinguished person, it is not such pure mercy, because some credit many times is assigned to yourself also, both by vain-glory, and by return of the favor, and by your rising in many people's estimation on account of your guest." In addition to the claim that hospitality ought to be shown to people who cannot repay us, Chrysostom also provides an awareness that in offering hospitality to people in need, one is also

welcoming Christ. He says, “Christ goes about ‘naked and a stranger.’ It is only shelter He wants . . . Let our house be Christ’s general receiving place. Let us demand of [strangers] as reward, not money, but that they make our house the receiving place for Christ.” In Christian hospitality, in welcoming the vulnerable stranger one is welcoming Christ.

St Benedict, the father of western monasticism, made hospitality to strangers a central feature of monastic practice. The *Rule of Benedict* requires that monks provide hospitality to clerics, pilgrims, and the poor because of Christ’s identification with the stranger in Matthew 25:35. As Benedict writes, “all guests who present themselves are to be welcomed as Christ, for he himself will say: I was a stranger and you welcomed me.” Monasteries and their hospices for pilgrims became one of the central institutions where hospitality remained in practice in medieval western society.

The practice of hospitality was central to Christian identity in the early church. The early church founded several institutions whose mission focused on hospitality. By the early fifth century, hospices, hospitals, alms-houses, orphanages, and old-age homes were founded and run by Christians. The hallmark of Christian hospitality was the extension of welcome to include the vulnerable and the stranger, those who precisely could not repay their hosts. By offering hospitality to the lowly and abject, the early church was recognizing the dignity and common humanity of these guests. Welcome, compassion, and equal treatment were characteristic of hospitality in the early church. And, most profoundly, the early church recognized that in welcoming the stranger, we are also welcoming Christ.

4 - Moral issues in migration and immigration

As we consider what is and what ought to be in our nation’s policies on migration and immigration, we must apply our scriptural knowledge, our Christian tradition, and our God-given reason to the complexities that face us. We, your bishops, suggest the following principles to guide those considerations:

First, we need to create a clearer and more just system for immigrants to achieve permanent residency and eventual citizenship. People who have come here to overcome poverty, to escape war, or to fulfill economic demands

in this country should be able to become permanent members of our society. Many immigrant families have integrated into our communities and have no plan or desire to return to their country of origin. We need their contributions, and we should welcome their presence and receive them as fellow citizens.

While a large percentage of unauthorized immigrants should be extended legal status, two groups deserve special consideration. First, persons who were brought to the United States as children who, for all intents and purposes, are Americans should be granted lawful permanent residence and ultimately citizenship. In addition, these children should be afforded every level of education from which they can benefit, including higher education. In-state residents should be granted in-state tuition at state universities, without reference to their legal status. Federal financial aid and grants should also be available to them. To deny a child an education is to deny our society that child's gifts and potential.

Second, low-wage laborers who work year after year harvesting and preparing our food, sewing our clothes, caring for our children and elderly, and meeting our other essential needs should be given legal recognition and protection. In addition, the rights of native and foreign workers should be defended, and on an equal basis without reference to legal status. Native workers should not have to fear that their income will be undercut by the exploitation of immigrant labor. Immigrant workers should not be exploited or penalized when they contribute to the economy through their work and their taxes. Upturns and downturns alike require employment of both native workers and immigrant workers.

The reform of our immigration system should also include eliminating backlogs and barriers which continue to separate families. The family continues to be the basic unit of society, and the stability of families affects the stability of our society. Parents should be present and available to their children, not just sending them money from afar, but perhaps not seeing them for years or even decades. Spouses should not experience years-long absences from each other.


Finally, we should promote not only legal status for immigrants, but the long-term success and incorporation of these immigrants into our nation's life. This will require an extensive and coordinated immigrant integration project,

involving our nation's faith communities; federal state, and local government; civil society; business and labor leaders; and others. The goal should be to allow our immigrant sisters and brothers to become fully participating and contributing members of our society.

Sisters and brothers, we urge you to consider, discuss, study, and pray over this pastoral letter, and to inform yourself in other appropriate ways about these issues, that we may all work together for a just and holy solution to the issues of migration and immigration that face our nation and our world.



Eugene Taylor Sutton
Bishop Diocesan



John L. Rabb
Bishop Suffragan

Appendix 1

Resolutions Pertaining to Immigration
General Convention of the Episcopal Church

1982-A063**Encourage Relief for Refugees***Concurred as Substituted*

The 67th General Convention commends efforts to resettle refugees and encourages Episcopalians to accept refugees in their communities. It urges fair treatment of Salvadoran and Haitian refugees and permanent status for political and economic refugees.

1982-D051**Urge Immigration and Church Sponsorship of Amerasian Children***Concurred as Amended*

The 67th General Convention urges that immigration laws be changed to allow Amerasian children into the US. It encourages dioceses, congregations and families to provide for them and urges the Presiding Bishop to encourage sponsorship.

1985-D018**Call on the Government to Grant Immigration Status
to Central American War Refugees***Concurred as Amended*

The 68th General Convention reaffirms the call for the U.S. to offer safe haven to Central Americans seeking temporary refuge in our nation from civil strife in their home countries.

1985-D113**Request Congress to Reform Immigration Legislation***Concurred as Amended*

The 68th General Convention calls the Congress to enact immigration legislation that recognizes the human realities of undocumented people in this country and that provides asylum for those fleeing political repression.

1988-B032**Request the ACC to Assist With the Settlement of
Refugee Bishops and Clergy***Concurred as Amended*

The 69th General Convention calls for steps to be taken for the employment, support, and maintenance of Anglican bishops, clergy, and lay workers who are

forced by political or military circumstances to flee their dioceses in developing countries.

1988-B034**Commend Participation in the Legalization Program for Refugees*****Concurred as Submitted***

The 69th General Convention encourages continuing Church participation in the legalization program established Congress to assist persons to prepare for permanent residency through education and counseling.

1994-D113**On the Topic of California's "Save Our State" Initiative*****Rejected***

The 71st General Convention rejects the resolution declaring opposition to California's "Save Our State" initiative.

1994-D132**Reject Racism Toward Immigrants and Request the Church to Respond*****Concurred as Substituted and Amended***

The 71st General Convention condemns widespread racist and unjust treatment of immigrants in political discourse and directs provinces and dioceses to develop programs to counteract violations of civil rights.

1997-D081**Develop Advocacy Agenda of Refugee Admissions and Asylum*****Concurred as Submitted***

The 72nd General Convention charges the Episcopal Migration Ministries to develop an advocacy agenda for refugee admissions, asylum and access to essential services.

2000-A053**Adopt Migration Ministries Mission Statement*****Concurred as Amended***

The 73rd General Convention adopts the Migration Ministries mission statement, "The Episcopal Church in Service to Refugees and Immigrants."

2003-C028**Support the Immigrant Workers Freedom Ride***Concurred*

The 74th General Convention calls for support of the Immigrant Workers Freedom Ride (IWFR) through the education of Church members about the importance of immigration law reform.

2003-C033**Urge Legislation to Expand Temporary Workers' Programs***Concurred as Substituted*

The 74th General Convention urges Congress to enact legislation to expand temporary workers' programs.

2006-A017**Adopt the Fundamental Principles Included in “The Alien Among You” as the Policy of the Episcopal Church***Concurred as Amended*

The 75th General Convention adopts the fundamental principles included in "The Alien Among You" as the policy of the Episcopal Church.

Source: www.episcopalarchives.org/

In addition, a resolution of the Lambeth Conference of 1998 states, “On the fiftieth anniversary of its proclamation in December of 1948, this conference: (a) resolves that its members urge compliance with the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights by the nations in which our various member Churches are located, and all others over whom we may exercise any influence; and (b) urges extension of the provisions of the Declaration to refugees, uprooted and displaced persons who may be forced by the circumstances of their lives to live among them” (Resolution I.1). Since Article 13 of the UNUDHR speaks of “the right to leave any country, including his own, and to return to his country,” and Article 14 says, “everyone has the right to seek and to enjoy in other countries asylum from persecution,” the Lambeth Conference of 1998 is urging a discussion of the issues of emigration and immigration in the context of human rights.

Appendix 2

Other Resources on Issues of Immigration

We urge every congregation – indeed, every member of our diocese – to learn more about immigration and about the complex challenges it raises for us as dual citizens of the United States and of the kingdom of God. Our response to these challenges must be an informed response; thus education is a crucial first step in the process of discerning, deliberately and prayerfully, how God calls us to respond to our immigrant brothers and sisters.

A good start point for this discussion is “And You Welcomed Me,” edited by Don Kerwin and Jill Marie Gershutz. Many other excellent resources are available on the internet, including the following:

Episcopal Public Policy Network (www.ecusa.anglican.org/eppn.htm) has an extensive Lenten series on hospitality, immigration, and refugees. Each of the weekly sections includes resources for further exploration.

Detailed factual information on immigration law, policy and demographics is available from the Migration Policy Institute, a non-partisan Washington think tank, on the web at www.migrationinformation.org/DataHub/.

The U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops’ 2003 pastoral letter, “Strangers No Longer: Together on the Journey of Hope” (www.usccb.org/mrs/stranger.shtml) is a lengthy and comprehensive review of Roman Catholic teaching, much of which is relevant for Episcopalians.

The Rt. Rev. Thomas Breidenthal, Bishop of Southern Ohio, “Immigration: An Anglican Approach” (www.diosohio.org/dfc/newsdetail_2/222) is a thoughtful essay, which rejects some of the Biblical interpretation that has been brought to bear on the subject of immigration, and instead grounds its argument in an analysis of Hooker’s *Laws of Ecclesiastical Polity*.

Sojourners, the organization headed by Jim Wallis, offers a “Toolkit for Christian Education and Action on Comprehensive Immigration Reform” at www.sojo.net/action/alerts/CCIR_toolkit.pdf.

The platform of Interfaith Immigration, an organization that includes most mainline churches, including the Episcopal Church, can be found at

www.interfaithimmigration.org/wp-content/uploads/2008/06/interfaith-immigration-platform-20092.pdf.

To look beyond the statistics, we recommend that you read and ponder the life stories of refugees, asylees and immigrants at www.ericabaltimore.org. These stories speak eloquently of the “push factors” that drive people from their home countries, and of the day-to-day struggles facing immigrants as they rebuild their lives here in Maryland.

Finally congregations seeking to have conversations with Latino immigrants to learn from their experiences are invited to contact the Diocesan Missioner to the Latino Community, the Rev. Hector R. Rodriguez at hectorraul@verizon.net or hrodriguez@episcopalmaryland.org.

Appendix 3

Immigrant Integration: Challenges in the United States

OVERVIEW OF US IMMIGRANT POPULATION

There are 38 million immigrants in the United States. Immigrants account for 12.5 percent of the total US population.¹

- Seventy percent came to the United States before 2000.
- Fifty-four percent are from Latin America, 27 percent are from Asia, 13 percent are from Europe, and 4 percent are from Africa.
- Thirty percent of immigrants are Mexican, 4.4 percent are Filipino, 4.3 percent are Indian, and 3.6² are Chinese.

Of the 70 million children in the United States, 16.3 million (23 percent) have at least one immigrant parent.

- Eighty-six percent of children with immigrant parents were born in the United States and are therefore US citizens.

ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

More than one-half of all immigrants report that they “cannot speak English very well.”³

- Students who cannot speak English very well account for roughly 10 percent of all US students in elementary and secondary schools.⁴
- For the 2009-2010 school year, roughly 30 out of 50 states anticipated a shortage of teachers qualified to meet the needs of Limited English Proficient students.⁵

¹ Unless otherwise noted, all statistics are from: Aaron Terrazas and Jeanne Batalova, “Frequently Requested Statistics on Immigrants and Immigration in the United States,” *Migration Information Source*, October 27, 2009, <http://www.migrationinformation.org/Feature/display.cfm?ID=747>. This article provides statistics for the year 2008.

² This number excludes Hong Kong and Taiwan.

³ Pia M. Orrenius and Madeline Zavodny, *Tied to the Business Cycle: How Immigrants Fare in Good and Bad Economic Times* (Washington, DC: Migration Policy Institute, November 2009), 3, www.migrationpolicy.org/pubs/orrenius-Nov09.pdf.

⁴ Aaron Terrazas and Michael Fix. *The Binational Option: Meeting the Instructional Needs of Limited English Proficient Students* (Washington, DC: Migration Policy Institute, November 2009), 7, www.migrationpolicy.org/pubs/TeacherExchange-Nov09.pdf.

⁵ *The Binational Option*, 9.

EDUCATION

Immigrants are less likely than natives to have completed high school. Thirty percent of immigrants lack a high school diploma, compared to only 10 percent of natives.⁶ The percentage of immigrants with a Bachelor's degree (college education) or higher is 29 percent, compared to 30 percent of natives.⁷

A college education is often prohibitively expensive for unauthorized immigrants.

- Persons without legal status who are accepted to US colleges and universities are not eligible for federal financial aid and, in many cases, are not eligible for reduced in-state tuition.
- Even if an unauthorized student attends college, upon graduation he or she will not be able to work legally in the United States.

WORKFORCE

Immigrants make up 15.7 percent of the US workforce, accounting for 24.5 million of the 156.2 million US workers. Eight million immigrant workers – roughly 5 percent of all US workers -- are not authorized to work in the United States.⁸

- Immigrants account for almost two-fifths of workers without a high school diploma or equivalent and three-quarters of workers who have at most an eighth grade education.⁹
- One out of every five highly-skilled immigrants (1.3 million college-educated immigrants) in the US labor force is unemployed or works in an unskilled job, such as dishwasher, security guard, and taxi driver.¹⁰

⁶ *Tied to the Business Cycle*, 19.

⁷ *Ibid*, 30.

⁸ Jeffrey S. Passel and D'Vera Cohn. *A Portrait of Unauthorized Immigrants in the United States* (Washington, DC: The Urban Institute, April 2009), <http://pewhispanic.org/reports/report.php?ReportID=107>.

⁹ *Tied to the Business Cycle*, 3

¹⁰ Jeanne Batalova and Michael Fix, with Peter A. Creticos. *Uneven Progress: The Employment Pathways of Skilled Immigrants in the United States* (Washington, DC:

- Immigrant workers earn less than native workers. During the second quarter of 2009 immigrants earned a median weekly wage of \$528, compared to \$646 for natives.¹¹

Immigrants have proved to be especially vulnerable during the economic crisis.

- A high percentage of immigrants share the demographic characteristics of those workers who are most vulnerable during a recession, including “relative youth, lower levels of education, recent entry into the labor force.”¹²
- The unemployment rate of the foreign born for 2009 (9.7 percent) was higher than that of the native born (9.2 percent) for the first time since 2003.¹³
- Immigrants are also overrepresented in the industries hit hardest by the recession, such as construction.

POVERTY

Immigrants are more likely to live in poverty than natives. In 2008, 20 percent of immigrant-headed households lived in poverty compared to 12 percent of native-headed households.¹⁴

- Many immigrant households are not eligible for government food or cash assistance. In addition, many eligible immigrant households may hesitate to apply for government assistance because they fear it will jeopardize a family member’s immigration situation.¹⁵

Migration Policy Institute, October 2008), 1,
https://secure.migrationpolicy.org/images/2008.10.22_Batalova.pdf.

¹¹ *Tied to the Business Cycle*, 14.

¹² Demetrios G. Papademetriou and Aaron Terrazas, *Immigrants and the Current Economic Crisis: Research Evidence, Policy Challenges, and Implications* (Washington, DC: Migration Policy Institute, January 2009), iii,
www.migrationpolicy.org/pubs/lmi_recessionJan09.pdf.

¹³ US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, "Foreign-Born Workers: Labor Force Characteristics - 2009," (news release, March 19, 2010),
<http://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/forbrn.pdf>.

¹⁴ *Tied to the Business Cycle*, 5.

¹⁵ *Ibid*, 4.

- Twenty-two percent of the children of immigrants are poor, compared to 16 percent of the children of natives.¹⁶

LACK OF LEGAL STATUS

There are roughly 11 million unauthorized immigrants in the United States.¹⁷

- An estimated 1.5 million of the US unauthorized population are children.
- Four million US citizen children have at least one authorized parent.¹⁸

IMPACT OF IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT MEASURES

Roughly 359,000 people were deported from the United States in 2008.¹⁹

Children whose parents are detained or deported often face emotional strain and economic hardship.²⁰

While immigration law has always been administered by the federal government, in recent years individual states and localities have also begun to enforce immigration laws. Many argue that having local police check immigrants for legal status encourages racial profiling and discourages immigrants from reporting crimes.

¹⁶ Karina Fortuny, Randy Capps, Margaret Simms, and Ajay Chaudry. *Children of Immigrants: National and State Characteristics* (Washington, DC: The Urban Institute, August 2009), <http://www.urban.org/publications/411939.html>.

¹⁷ Michael Hoefler, Nancy Rytina, and Bryan C. Baker. *Estimates of the Unauthorized Immigrant Population Residing in the United States: January 2009* (Washington, DC: US Department of Homeland Security, Office of Immigration Statistics, October 2009), www.dhs.gov/xlibrary/assets/statistics/.../ois_ill_pe_2008.pdf.

¹⁸ *A Portrait of Unauthorized Immigrants*.

¹⁹ US Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Office of Immigration Statistics, *Yearbook of Immigration Statistics: 2008* (Washington, DC: DHS, 2009), <http://www.dhs.gov/files/statistics/publications/YrBk08En.shtm>.

²⁰ Ajay Chaudry, Randy Capps, Juan Manuel Pedroza, Rosa Maria Castaneda, Robert Santos, Molly M. Scott, *Facing Our Future: Children in the Aftermath of Immigration Enforcement* (Washington, DC: The Urban institute, February 2010), <http://www.urban.org/publications/412020.html>.

- One state government recently passed legislation that allows law enforcement officials to question and to arrest individuals based on a “reasonable suspicion” that they do not have legal status.

TERRORISM

Many lawmakers are concerned about the small number of immigrants who become involved in violent extremist movements.

- For example, in 2007/8 roughly 20 Somali refugee adolescents from the State of Minnesota traveled to Somalia in order to receive extremist military training.²¹

NATURALIZATION

Many immigrants are eligible to obtain US citizenship through a process called “naturalization.”

- Of the 38 million immigrants in the United States, 16.3 have become US citizens through naturalization.
- There are currently 8 million individuals eligible to naturalize who have not yet done so.²²
- Applicants for naturalization are required to pay a fee and pass an English language test. The fee and English language test can serve as barriers to naturalization for low-income, elderly, and Limited English Proficient persons.²³

²¹ Hearing on “Violent Extremism: How Are People Moved from Constitutionally-Protected Thought

to Acts of Terrorism?” before the House Subcommittee on Terrorism, written testimony of Stevan Weine M.D.,

<http://homeland.house.gov/Hearings/index.asp?ID=229>.

²² Nancy Rytna. *Estimates of the Legal Permanent Resident Population in 2008* (Washington, DC: US Department of Homeland Security, Office of Immigration Statistics, October 2009), www.dhs.gov/xlibrary/assets/statistics/.../ois_lpr_pe_2008.pdf.

²³ Migration Policy Institute, National Center on Immigrant Integration, “Citizenship and Civic Engagement,” <http://www.migrationinformation.org/integration/citizenship.cfm>.

Acknowledgements

The Bishops of Maryland are indebted to the collaboration of the following individuals in the writing and editing of this Pastoral Letter on Welcoming the Stranger:

The Rev. Annette Chappell

The Very Rev. Hal T. Ley Hayek

Mr. Donald Kerwin

Mr. Peter Kirchgraber

The Rev. Dr. Joseph Pagano

The Rev. Jesse L. A. Parker

The Rev. Hector R. Rodriguez.



THE EPISCOPAL DIOCESE OF MARYLAND

Minds to think, hearts to love, hands to serve

4 East University Parkway
Baltimore, MD 21218
410-467-1399
www.episcopalmaryland.org